

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 10/625,333 | 07/22/2003 | Cory Bear | 2103175-991101 | 7760 | |
| 26379 7 | 590 12/07/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | RUDNICK GRAY CA | CORRIELU | CORRIELUS, JEAN M | | |
| | SITY AVENUE O, CA 94303-2248 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | • | | 2162 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED. 12/07/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | [A _ e^ | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | | 10/625,333 | BEAR ET AL | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Jean M. Corrielus | 2162 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | • | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati | Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner | election requirement. | | | | |
| 10)□ | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the co | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/03. | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the application filed on July 22, 2003, in which claims 1-3 are presenting for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement.

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on July 22, 2003 complies with the provisions of M.P.E.P 609. It has been placed in the application file. The information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Drawings

3. Applicants are required to furnish the formal drawings in response to this office action if the formal drawings have not been submitted. No new matter may be introduced in the required drawings. Failure to timely submit a drawing will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1 lines 19, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 35, 37 and 38 please delete "and";

In line 40, please –and--.

Claim 2, lines 18, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, and 38 please delete "and";

In line 40, please insert –and--.

In page 10 of the application please separate claim 3 from claim 2;

Claim 3, step (d) line 3, after product please add -and--.

Art Unit: 2162

Claim 3 recites "a method for extending the use of the <u>said invention</u>". Applicant is advised to point out the use of the invention instead to have the word <u>said invention</u> in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recite line 3 "this", lines 7 and 11 "its". Pronouns are not permitted, only what is being referred by "this" and "its" should be set forth in the claim. Applicants are advised to amend the claim so solve the 112 rejection set forth in the claim.

Double Patenting

The non-statutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to

Application/Control Number: 10/625,333

Art Unit: 2162

be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 4

8. Claims 1-3 are 1- rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-53 of U. S. Patent No. 6,640,217 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons: Claim 1 of the instant application substantially recites the limitations of claim 1 of the cited co-pending application. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing at the time the invention was made to modify the cited steps as indicated claim 1 of the instant US patent application since the omission and addition of the cited limitations would have not changed the process according to which the method of representing historical records of data backup activity from a plurality of data backup products. Therefore, the ordinary skilled artisan would have been also motivated to modify claim 1 of the cited instant US patent application by inserting the steps of providing a database construction of a uniformly formatted record for insertion and the steps for execution of the process to add said records. The cited omitting elements would not interfere with the functionality of the steps previously claimed and would perform the same function. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963).

Application/Control Number: 10/625,333 Page 5

Art Unit: 2162

The dependent claims 2-3 of the instant application are rejected for fully incorporating the errors of the base claim by dependency.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean M. Corrielus whose telephone number is (571) 272-4032. The examiner can normally be reached on 10 hours shift.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jean M Corrielus Primary Examiner Art Unit 2162

December 3, 2005